Modular covariant torus partition functions of dense $A_1^{(1)}$ and dilute $A_2^{(2)}$ loop models

MATRIX, Creswick, 11 July 2024

Alexi Morin-Duchesne, Andreas Klümper, Paul A. Pearce



• A.Morin-Duchesne, A.Klümper, PAP, Modular covariant torus partition functions of dense $A_1^{(1)}$ and dilute $A_2^{(2)}$ loop models, to be submitted, $\approx 30p$ (2024).

- A.Morin-Duchesne, A.Klümper, PAP, Critical site percolation on the triangular lattice: From integrability to conformal partition functions, J.Stat.Mech. (2023) 043103, 105p: sl(3)
- A.Morin-Duchesne, A.Klümper, PAP, Conformal partition functions of critical percolation from D_3 TBA equations, J.Stat.Mech. (2017) 083101, 85p (Critical bond percolation on the square lattice): sl(2)
- A.Morin-Duchesne, PAP, Fusion hierarchies, T-systems and Y-systems for the dilute $A_2^{(2)}$ loop models, J.Stat.Mech. (2019) 094007, 35p.
- A.Morin-Duchesne, A.Klümper, PAP, Groundstate finite-size corrections and dilogarithm identities for the twisted $A_1^{(1)}$, $A_2^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$ models, J.Stat.Mech. (2021) 033105, 95p.

"Coulomb Line"

• In the continuum scaling limit, the modular invariant conformal partition functions of many critical 2d lattice models (RSOS, loop, vertex) can be expressed [FSZ87] in terms of the partition functions of the 2d Coulomb gas with logarithmic interactions.

• In their simplest regimes, the critical manifolds of the 6-vertex and 19-Vertex Izergin-Korepin models (respectively the isospectral $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$ loop models) are curves in the thermodynamic space parametrized by the crossing parameter $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \in (0, 1)$. The models are Yang-Baxter integrable along these lines.

• The transfer matrix eigenenergies cross at the rational points $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \in \mathbb{Q}$. At each such point, $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} = \frac{p'-p}{p'} \in \mathbb{Q}$ in this dense set, there exists an extended loop algebra symmetry [DFM2001] reflected by degeneracies in the eigenenergies.

• For generic values of the crossing parameter $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \in (0, 1)$ and twist $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, the partition functions are given as (infinite) sesquilinear forms in generic Verma characters.

• We will see that for zero twist ($\gamma = 0$) and $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \in \mathbb{Q}$, the modular covariant torus partition functions can be written in terms of a generalized Coulomb partition function and also as sesquilinear forms in affine u(1) characters.

• In this talk, it is convenient to work in the language of loop models but the results apply equally to both loop and the associated (isospectral) 6- and 19-vertex (Izergin-Korepin) models. Here I focus on results and omit most calculations.

Dense and Dilute Loop Models

• The face operators of the dense $A_1^{(1)}$ and dilute $A_2^{(2)}$ loop models take the form

$$\begin{array}{c|c} u \\ u \\ = \rho_1(u) \\ + \rho_2(u) \\ + \rho_3(u) \\ + \rho_3(u) \\ + \rho_8(u) \\ + \rho_8(u) \\ + \rho_9(u) \\ + \rho_9(u) \\ \end{array}$$

• Set $s(u) = \frac{\sin u}{\sin \lambda}$. In the simplest regimes $0 < u < \lambda < \pi$. In terms of the spectral parameter u and crossing parameter λ , the tile weights $\rho_i = \rho_i(u)$, corresponding to critical manifolds, are

dense:
$$\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \dots = \rho_7 = 0,$$
 $\rho_8 = s(\lambda - u),$ $\rho_9 = s(u)$
dilute: $\begin{cases} \rho_1 = s(2\lambda)s(3\lambda) + s(u)s(3\lambda - u), & \rho_6 = \rho_7 = s(u)s(3\lambda - u) \\ \rho_2 = \rho_3 = s(2\lambda)s(3\lambda - u), & \rho_8 = s(2\lambda - u)s(3\lambda - u) \\ \rho_4 = \rho_5 = s(2\lambda)s(u), & \rho_9 = -s(u)s(\lambda - u) \end{cases}$

• The fugacities of non-contractible and contractible (closed) loops on the torus are

$$\alpha = \omega + \omega^{-1}, \quad \omega = e^{i\gamma}, \quad \gamma = \text{twist}; \qquad \beta = \begin{cases} 2\cos\lambda, & \text{dense} \\ -2\cos4\lambda, & \text{dilute} \end{cases}$$

• Let $n_{\beta}(\sigma)$, $n_{\alpha}(\sigma)$, $n_i(\sigma)$ count contractible, non-contractible loops and the occurrences of the *i*-th tile in σ . The lattice partition functions are then defined as a sum over configurations σ

$$Z = \sum_{\sigma} \alpha^{n_{\alpha}(\sigma)} \beta^{n_{\beta}(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{9} \rho_i^{n_i(\sigma)}$$

Typical Loop Configurations



• Typical configurations of dense (left) and dilute (right) loop models. Upper panels show typical configurations on the torus. Lower panels show projections onto a doubly periodic rectangle.

Periodic and Anti-Periodic Boundary Conditions



• Sample loop configurations for the dilute $A_2^{(2)}$ loop model with combinations of periodic (h, v = 0) and anti-periodic (h, v = 1) boundary conditions horizontally and vertically on an $N \times M$ lattice. The left/right edges and top/bottom edges are identified to form a torus. Loop configurations for the dense $A_1^{(1)}$ loop model are similar but with each square face containing two loop segments. In this case h, v are simply the \mathbb{Z}_2 parities of N and M.

Transfer Matrices and TL Algebras

• The dense and dilute loop models are integrable since their face operators satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation. The commuting transfer matrices T(u) of the dense and dilute loop models



are elements of the periodic dense/dilute Temperley-Lieb algebras

• Standard Modules: The periodic loop transfer matrices act diagrammatically on the vector spaces $W_{N,d,\omega}$ spanned by planar link states on N nodes with d defects ($0 \le d \le N$). Examples:



• Examples of the (defect preserving) action of the periodic TL algebra on the cylinder are:



Torus Lattice Partition Functions

• Set $\alpha = \omega + \omega^{-1}$ and let $W_{N,d,\omega}$ denote the standard module over the periodic dense/dilute Temperley-Lieb algebras where d is the number of defects. In both dense/dilute cases, the (matrix) trace over standard modules of the *M*-th power of the transfer matrix decomposes as a Laurent series

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathsf{W}_{N,d,\omega}} T(u)^M = \sum_{j=-M}^M \omega^{-j} C_{d,j}, \qquad C_{d,j} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\gamma \, e^{i\gamma j} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathsf{W}_{N,d,e^{i\gamma}}} \widehat{T}(u)^M$$

where $C_{d,j}$ are independent of $\omega = e^{i\gamma}$.

- The Markov trace glues the top and bottom edges of the cylinder together to form a torus.
- Using the Markov trace, it follows that the torus lattice partition functions are

$$Z_{\text{dense}}^{(h,v)} = \sum_{\substack{-N \le d \le N \\ d \equiv h \mod 2}} \sum_{\substack{-M \le j \le M \\ j \equiv v \mod 2}} T_{d \land j}(\frac{\alpha}{2}) C_{d,j}$$
$$Z_{\text{dilute}}^{(h,v)} = \sum_{\substack{-N \le d \le N \\ d \equiv h \mod 2}} \sum_{\substack{-M \le j \le M \\ j \equiv v \mod 2}} 2 T_{d \land j}(\frac{\alpha}{2}) C_{d,j}$$

where $d \wedge j = \gcd(d, j)$, $C_{-d,j} = C_{d,-j}$ and $T_n(x)$ is the *n*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind $T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos n\theta$. Note that there is an extra factor of 2 for the dilute loop model.

Continuum Scaling Limit

• In the continuum scaling limit $(R' = aN, R = aM; a \to 0; M, N \to \infty)$, the dense and dilute loop models are described by CFTs with effective central charges depending on the twist γ .

• Consider the untwisted models ($\gamma = 0$, $\alpha = 2$). If λ/π is irrational then the CFT is irrational in the sense that its central charge is irrational. In this case, the models exhibit generic Virasoro symmetry. Otherwise, if λ/π is rational, then the CFT has a rational central charge and is both nonunitary and logarithmic but not rational. In these cases, higher degeneracies occur in the spectra and an affine u(1) symmetry emerges.

• For λ/π rational, we parametrize the crossing parameter by

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi(p'-p)}{p'}, & \text{dense} \\ \frac{\pi(2p'-p)}{4p'}, & \text{dilute} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \quad \beta = 2\cos\frac{\pi(p'-p)}{p'}, \qquad 0$$

• For $\gamma = 0$, the central charge c, conformal weights $\Delta_{r,s}$ and affine u(1) characters are then

$$c = 1 - \frac{6(p - p')^2}{pp'}, \qquad \Delta_{r,s} = \Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'} = \frac{(p'r - ps)^2 - (p - p')^2}{4pp'}$$

$$\varkappa_j^n(q) = \varkappa_j^n(1,q), \quad \varkappa_j^n(z,q) = \frac{\Theta_{j,n}(q,z)}{q^{1/24}(q)_{\infty}} = \frac{q^{-1/24}}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^k q^{(j+2kn)^2/4n}, \quad (q)_{\infty} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$$

at level n = pp' with associated conformal weights

$$\Delta_j^n = \min\left[\frac{j^2}{4n}, \frac{(2n-j)^2}{4n}\right], \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$$

• For (p, p') = (2,3) or $\lambda = \pi/3$, the dense case is critical bond percolation on the square lattice and the dilute case is critical site percolation on the triangular lattice. For (p, p') = (1, 2) or $\lambda = \pi/2$, these are models of critical dense and dilute polymers on the square lattice.

Scaling Limit of Torus Partition Functions

Conjecture: The scaling limit of the standard module traces are conjectured to be

$$\lim_{\substack{M,N\to\infty\\M/N\to\delta\\M\equiv\epsilon \bmod 2}} e^{MNf_{\mathsf{bulk}}(u)} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathsf{W}_{N,d,\omega}} T(u)^M = \begin{cases} \frac{(q\bar{q})^{-c/24}}{(q)_{\infty}(\bar{q})_{\infty}} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{\epsilon\ell} q^{\Delta_{\gamma/\pi-\ell,d/2}^{p,p'}} \overline{q}^{\Delta_{\gamma/\pi-\ell,-d/2}^{p,p'}}, & \text{dense} \end{cases}$$

where $f_{\text{bulk}}(u)$ is a known bulk free energy, q is the modular nome, δ is the aspect ratio and

$$(q)_{\infty} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n), \qquad \omega = e^{i\gamma}, \qquad q = e^{2\pi i\tau} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-2\pi i\delta \, e^{-i\frac{\pi u}{\lambda}}\right), & \text{dense} \\ \exp\left(-2\pi i\delta \, e^{-i\frac{\pi u}{3\lambda}}\right), & \text{dilute} \end{cases}$$

• These (infinite) sesquilinear Verma forms are periodic functions in γ with period 2π . Taking the scaling limit, we define scaled coefficients and partition functions by

$$\mathcal{C}_{d,j} = \lim_{\substack{M,N \to \infty \\ M/N \to \delta}} e^{MNf_{\mathsf{bulk}(u)}}C_{d,j}, \qquad \mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)} = \lim_{\substack{M,N \to \infty \\ M/N \to \delta}} e^{MNf_{\mathsf{bulk}}(u)}Z^{(h,v)}$$

• For both dense and dilute cases, we find (treating $g = \frac{p}{4p'}$ as a continuous variable)

$$\mathcal{C}_{d,j} = \mathcal{Z}_{d,j} \Big(\frac{p}{4p'}\Big), \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{m,m'}(g) = \Big(\frac{g}{\tau_i}\Big)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\eta(q)\eta(\bar{q})} \exp\left[-\frac{\pi g}{\tau_i}\Big|m\tau - m'\Big|^2\right]$$
$$\mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)} = \mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}_{dense} = \mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}_{dilute} = \sum_{d \in 2\mathbb{Z}+h} \sum_{j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+v} 2T_{d \wedge j}(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \mathcal{Z}_{d,j}\Big(\frac{p}{4p'}\Big)$$

where $\eta(q) = q^{1/24}(q)_{\infty}$ is the Dedekind eta function, $\tau_i = \text{Im }\tau$ and the functions $\mathcal{Z}_{m,m'}(g)$ are well-known in the Coulomb gas formalism [FSZ87].

Modular Covariance

• Under the action of the modular group with generators $T: \tau \mapsto \tau + 1$ and $S: \tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau}$, we find

$$\mathcal{Z}_{d,j}(g,\tau+1) = \mathcal{Z}_{d,j-d}(g,\tau), \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{d,j}(g,-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \mathcal{Z}_{j,-d}(g,\tau), \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

so the partition functions satisfy

$\mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(\tau+1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}_{tor}(\tau),$	$\mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(-rac{1}{ au}) = \mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(au)$
$\mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}(\tau+1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}_{tor}(\tau),$	$\mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}(\tau)$
$\mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}(\tau+1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}_{tor}(\tau),$	$\mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}(-rac{1}{ au}) = \mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}(au)$
$\mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}(\tau+1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}_{tor}(\tau),$	$\mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}(\tau)$

• The fully periodic partition function $\mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}$ is modular invariant whereas $\mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}$, $\mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}$ are covariant under the modular group. Specifically, the action of the generators S and T on the ordered basis $\{\mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}, \mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}, \mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}\}$ yields a four-dimensional representation of the modular group

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S^2 = (ST)^3 = I$$

Under this action, T satisfies $T^2 = I$ so here T is also an involution.

Sesquilinear Verma Forms

• The covariant partition functions should be expressible as sesquilinear forms in CFT characters. For $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} = \frac{p'-p}{p'} \in (0,1)$, we find the sesquilinear form in generic Verma characters $\frac{q^{-c/24}}{(q)_{\infty}}q^{\Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'}}$

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)} = \frac{(q\bar{q})^{-c/24}}{(q)_{\infty}(\bar{q})_{\infty}} \Big[\delta_{h=0 \mod 2} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} (q\bar{q})^{\frac{\Delta_{\gamma,p'}^{p,p'}}{\pi} - 2\ell,0} + \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \delta_{d=h \mod 2} \sum_{m=0}^{2d-1} \Gamma_{m,d}^{(v)} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{\Delta_{m,d}^{p,p'}}{d} - 2\ell,\frac{d}{2}} \bar{q}^{\frac{\Delta_{m,d}^{p,p'}}{d} - 2\ell,\frac{d}{2}} \Big] \\ \Gamma_{m,d}^{(v)} = \frac{1}{|2d|} \sum_{j=0}^{2d-1} e^{i\pi jm/d} \Big[1 + (-1)^{j+v} \Big] T_{d \wedge j}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$$

This holds for general α . Summing over h, v = 0, 1 for the full partition function, this agrees with an equivalent but different expression in [FSZ87]. Proving this requires some number theory (Möbius/Euler totient functions, Möbius inversion formula)!

• For $\alpha = 2$ (zero twist) and λ/π rational, these conformal partition functions simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)} \Big|_{\alpha=2} &= \frac{(q\bar{q})^{-c/24}}{(q)_{\infty}(\bar{q})_{\infty}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{d \in 2\mathbb{Z}+h} (-1)^{v\ell} q^{\Delta_{-\ell,d/2}^{p,p'}} \bar{q}^{\Delta_{-\ell,-d/2}^{p,p'}} \\ &= \frac{(q\bar{q})^{-c/24}}{(q)_{\infty}(\bar{q})_{\infty}} \sum_{r,s \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{vr} q^{\Delta_{r,s+h/2}^{p,p'}} \bar{q}^{\Delta_{r,-s-h/2}^{p,p'}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)\eta(\bar{q})} \sum_{r,s-h/2 \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{vr} q^{\frac{(p'r-ps)^2}{4pp'}} \bar{q}^{\frac{(p'r+ps)^2}{4pp'}} = \mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}(\frac{p}{p'}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}(g) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)\eta(\bar{q})} \sum_{r,s-h/2\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{vr} q^{(r/\sqrt{g}-s\sqrt{g})^2/4} \bar{q}^{(r/\sqrt{g}+s\sqrt{g})^2/4}, \quad h,v=0,1$$

and so involve a generalization of the usual Coulomb partition function $\mathcal{Z}(g) = \mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(g)$.

Affine u(1) Sesquilinear Forms

• Let's now specialize to $\alpha = 2$ (zero twist). By shifting r by p and using symmetries, we can write

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}(\frac{p}{p'}) = \frac{1}{2\eta(q)\eta(\bar{q})} \sum_{r,s-\frac{h}{2}\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{vr} q^{\frac{(p'r-ps)^2}{4pp'}} \Big[\bar{q}^{\frac{(p'r+ps)^2}{4pp'}} + (-1)^{pv} \bar{q}^{\frac{(p'r+ps+2n)^2}{4pp'}} \Big] = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{2p'-1} (-1)^{vr} \mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}_{r,s}$$

where we prove the large set of identities (valid for all h, v, r, s, p, p')

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{r,s}^{(h,v)} &:= \frac{1}{\eta(q)\eta(\bar{q})} \sum_{r' \in 2p\mathbb{Z} + r} \sum_{s' \in 2p'\mathbb{Z} + s + h/2} q^{\frac{(p'r' - ps')^2}{4pp'}} \Big[\bar{q}^{\frac{(p'r' + ps')^2}{4pp'}} + (-1)^{pv} \bar{q}^{\frac{(p'r' + ps' + 2n)^2}{4pp'}} \Big] \\ &= \varkappa_{p'r - p(s + \frac{h}{2})}^n \Big((-1)^{pv}, q \Big) \varkappa_{p'r + p(s + \frac{h}{2})}^n \Big((-1)^{pv}, \bar{q} \Big), \qquad n = pp' \end{aligned}$$

• It follows that the torus partition functions are sesquilinear forms in affine u(1) characters

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}(\frac{p}{p'}) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{2p'-1} (-1)^{vr} \varkappa_{p'r-p(s+\frac{h}{2})}^{n} \left((-1)^{pv}, q \right) \varkappa_{p'r+p(s+\frac{h}{2})}^{n} \left((-1)^{pv}, \bar{q} \right)$$

where we note that the decomposition into affine u(1) characters at level 4n = 4pp'

$$\varkappa_{j}^{n}(\pm 1, q) = \varkappa_{2j}^{4n}(q) \pm \varkappa_{4n-2j}^{4n}(q)$$

Affine u(1) Sesquilinear Forms (Zero Twist)

In the examples below, we fix the notation

$$\varkappa_j^{n,\pm}(q) = \varkappa_j^n(q) \pm \varkappa_{n-j}^n(q)$$

• (p, p') = (1, 2), c = -2, critical dense/dilute polymers [MDKP17]:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(\frac{1}{2}) &= |\varkappa_0^2(q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_1^2(q)|^2 + |\varkappa_2^2(q)|^2 = |\varkappa_0^{8,+}(q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_2^{8,+}(q)|^2 + |\varkappa_4^{8,+}(q)|^2, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}(\frac{1}{2}) &= |\varkappa_0^2(-1,q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_1^2(-1,q)|^2 + |\varkappa_2^2(-1,q)|^2 = |\varkappa_0^{8,-}(q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_2^{8,-}(q)|^2 + |\varkappa_4^{8,-}(q)|^2, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}(\frac{1}{2}) &= 2|\varkappa_{1/2}^2(q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_{3/2}^2(q)|^2 = 2|\varkappa_1^{8,+}(q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_3^{8,+}(q)|^2, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}(\frac{1}{2}) &= 2|\varkappa_{1/2}^2(-1,q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_{3/2}^2(-1,q)|^2 = 2|\varkappa_1^{8,-}(q)|^2 + 2|\varkappa_3^{8,-}(q)|^2. \end{split}$$

•
$$(p,p')=(1,3)$$
 with $c=-7$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(\frac{1}{3}) &= |\varkappa_{0}^{3}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{1}^{3}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{2}^{3}(q)|^{2} + |\varkappa_{3}^{3}(q)|^{2} \\ &= |\varkappa_{0}^{12,+}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{2}^{12,+}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{4}^{12,+}(q))|^{2} + |\varkappa_{6}^{12,+}(q)|^{2}, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}(\frac{1}{3}) &= |\varkappa_{0}^{3}(-1,q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{1}^{3}(-1,q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{2}^{3}(-1,q)|^{2} + |\varkappa_{3}^{3}(-1,q)|^{2} \\ &= |\varkappa_{0}^{12,-}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{2}^{12,-}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{4}^{12,-}(q))|^{2} + |\varkappa_{6}^{12,-}(q)|^{2}, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}(\frac{1}{3}) &= 2|\varkappa_{1/2}^{3}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{3/2}^{3}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{5/2}^{3}(q)|^{2} \\ &= 2|\varkappa_{1}^{12,+}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{3}^{12,+}(q)^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{5/2}^{12,+}(q)|^{2}, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}(\frac{1}{3}) &= 2|\varkappa_{1/2}^{3}(-1,q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{3/2}^{3}(-1,q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{5/2}^{3}(-1,q)|^{2} \\ &= 2|\varkappa_{1}^{12,-}(q)|^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{3}^{12,-}(q)^{2} + 2|\varkappa_{5}^{12,-}(q)|^{2}. \end{split}$$

• (p, p') = (2, 3), c = 0, critical bond [MDKP17] and critical site percolation [MDKP23]:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(\frac{2}{3}) &= |x_{0}^{6}(q)|^{2} + 2x_{1}^{6}(q)x_{0}^{6}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{2}^{6}(q)|^{2} + 2|x_{3}^{6}(q)|^{2} + 2|x_{4}^{6}(q)|^{2} + 2x_{5}^{6}(q)x_{1}^{6}(\bar{q}) + |x_{6}^{6}(q)|^{2} \\ &= |x_{0}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} + 2x_{2}^{24,+}(q)x_{10}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{4}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} + 2|x_{6}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} + 2|x_{8}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} \\ &+ 2x_{10}^{24,+}(q)x_{2}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + |x_{12}^{24,+}(q)|^{2}, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(0,1)}(\frac{2}{3}) &= |x_{0}^{6}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{1}^{6}(q)x_{5}^{6}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{2}^{6}(q)|^{2} - 2|x_{3}^{6}(q)|^{2} + 2|x_{4}^{6}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{5}^{6}(q)x_{1}^{6}(\bar{q}) + |x_{6}^{6}(q)|^{2} \\ &= |x_{0}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{2}^{24,+}(q)x_{10}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{4}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} - 2|x_{5}^{2}(q)x_{1}^{6}(\bar{q})|^{2} + 2|x_{8}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} \\ &- 2x_{10}^{24,+}(q)|x_{2}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + |x_{12}^{24,+}(q)|^{2}, \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(1,0)}(\frac{2}{3}) &= x_{0}^{6}(q)x_{6}^{6}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{1}^{6}(q)|^{2} + 2x_{2}^{6}(q)x_{4}^{6}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{3}^{6}(q)|^{2} + 2|x_{4}^{6}(q)x_{2}^{5}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{6}(q)|^{2} \\ &+ x_{6}^{6}(q)x_{0}^{6}(\bar{q}) \\ &= x_{0}^{24,+}(q)x_{12}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{2}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} + 2x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q})x_{8}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} \\ &+ 2x_{8}^{24,+}(q)x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{10}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} + x_{12}^{24,+}(q)x_{8}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} \\ &+ 2x_{8}^{24,+}(q)x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{10}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} + x_{12}^{24,+}(q)x_{6}^{24,+}(\bar{q}), \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(1,1)}(\frac{2}{3}) &= -x_{0}^{6}(q)x_{6}^{6}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{1}^{6}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{2}^{6}(q)x_{6}^{6}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{6}(q)|^{2} \\ &- x_{6}^{6}(q)x_{0}^{6}(\bar{q}) \\ &= -x_{0}^{24,+}(q)x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{2}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{2}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{6}^{4}(q)x_{6}^{2}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{6}(q)|^{2} \\ &- 2x_{8}^{24,+}(q)x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{2}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{24,+}(\bar{q})|^{2} \\ &= -x_{0}^{24,+}(q)x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{2}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{5}^{24,+}(\bar{q})|^{2} \\ &- 2x_{8}^{24,+}(q)x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x_{2}^{24,+}(q)|^{2} - 2x_{4}^{24,+}(\bar{q}) + 2|x$$

• The modular invariants $\mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(\frac{p}{p'})$ agree with the conjectured sesquilinear forms of Pearce-Rasmussen [PR2011] by fixing the undetermined integers therein to $n_{p,p'} = -1$ for all p, p'.

• For the triplet model (cf. David's talk), $n_{p,p'} = 2$ for all p, p'.

Bezout Conjugates

• The affine u(1) characters have the periodicity

$$\varkappa_{j+P}^{n}\left((-1)^{pv},q\right) = \varkappa_{j}^{n}\left((-1)^{pv},q\right), \qquad P = \begin{cases} 2n, \ pv \text{ even} \\ 4n, \ pv \text{ odd} \end{cases} \qquad n = pp'$$

• The Bezout conjugates j + h'/2 and $\overline{j + h'/2}$ are independent of v and defined by

$$j + \frac{h'}{2} = p'r - p(s + \frac{h}{2}) \mod P, \qquad \overline{j + \frac{h'}{2}} = p'r + p(s + \frac{h}{2}) \mod P$$
$$h' = \chi_p h = \begin{cases} 1, & h = 1, \ p \text{ odd} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \chi_p = \begin{cases} 1, & p \text{ odd} \\ 0, & p \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

These are integers for h' = 0, half-integers for h' = 1. The Bezout construction gives a bijection (for h' = 0 or 1) between the set of Kac labels K and the cyclic set of u(1) indices $\mathbb{U}_{h'}$

$$\mathbb{K} = \{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \le r \le p-1, \ 0 \le s \le \frac{P}{p} - 1 \} \ \leftrightarrow \ \mathbb{U}_{h'} = \{ j + \frac{h'}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{h'}{2} : 0 \le j + \frac{h'}{2} < P \}$$

• The zero-twist modular covariant partition functions can then be written in the u(1) form

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(h,v)}(\frac{p}{p'}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^{vr(j)} \varkappa_{j+h'/2}^{n}(q) \varkappa_{j+h'/2}^{n}(\bar{q}), & pv \text{ even} \\ \frac{4n-1}{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{4n-1} (-1)^{vr(j)} \varkappa_{j+h/2}^{n}(-1,q) \varkappa_{j+h/2}^{n}(-1,\bar{q}), & pv \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

with parity $r(j) = \left(j + h/2 + \overline{j + h/2}\right)/(2p') \mod 2$.

Kac Table of Bezout Conjugates

\boldsymbol{s}								$s+\frac{1}{2}$							
8	0,0	4,4	8,8	12,12	16,16	20,20	0,0	<u>17</u> 2	$\frac{45}{2}, \frac{51}{2}$	$\frac{53}{2}, \frac{59}{2}$	$\frac{61}{2}, \frac{67}{2}$	$\frac{69}{2}, \frac{75}{2}$	$\frac{77}{2}, \frac{83}{2}$	$\frac{85}{2}, \frac{91}{2}$	$\frac{93}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$
7	3,21	7,1	11,5	15,9	19,13	23,7	3,21	<u>15</u> 2	$\frac{51}{2}, \frac{45}{2}$	$\frac{59}{2}, \frac{53}{2}$	$\frac{67}{2}, \frac{61}{2}$	$\frac{75}{2}, \frac{69}{2}$	$\frac{83}{2}, \frac{77}{2}$	$\frac{91}{2}, \frac{85}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{93}{2}$
6	6,18	10,22	14,2	18,6	22,10	2,14	6,18	<u>13</u> 2	$\frac{57}{2}, \frac{39}{2}$	$\frac{65}{2}, \frac{47}{2}$	$\frac{73}{2}, \frac{55}{2}$	$\frac{81}{2}, \frac{63}{2}$	$\frac{89}{2}, \frac{71}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{79}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}, \frac{87}{2}$
5	9,15	13,19	17,23	21,3	1,7	5,11	9,15	<u>11</u> 2	$\frac{63}{2}, \frac{33}{2}$	$\frac{71}{2}, \frac{41}{2}$	$\frac{79}{2}, \frac{49}{2}$	$\frac{87}{2}, \frac{57}{2}$	$\frac{95}{2}, \frac{65}{2}$	$\frac{7}{2}, \frac{73}{2}$	$\frac{15}{2}, \frac{81}{2}$
4	12,12	16,16	20,20	0,0	4,4	8,8	12,12	<u>9</u> 2	$\frac{69}{2}, \frac{27}{2}$	$\frac{77}{2}, \frac{35}{2}$	$\frac{85}{2}, \frac{43}{2}$	$\frac{93}{2}, \frac{51}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}, \frac{59}{2}$	$\frac{13}{2}, \frac{67}{2}$	$\frac{21}{2}, \frac{75}{2}$
3	15,9	19,13	23,17	3,21	7,1	11,5	15,9	<u>7</u> 2	$\frac{75}{2}, \frac{21}{2}$	$\frac{83}{2}, \frac{29}{2}$	$\frac{91}{2}, \frac{37}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{45}{2}$	$\frac{11}{2}, \frac{53}{2}$	$\frac{19}{2}, \frac{61}{2}$	$\frac{27}{2}, \frac{69}{2}$
2	18,6	22,10	2,14	6,18	10,22	14,2	18,6	<u>5</u> 2	$\frac{81}{2}, \frac{15}{2}$	$\frac{89}{2}, \frac{23}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{31}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}, \frac{39}{2}$	$\frac{17}{2}, \frac{47}{2}$	$\frac{25}{2}, \frac{55}{2}$	$\frac{33}{2}, \frac{63}{2}$
1	21,3	1,7	5,11	9,15	13,19	17,23	21,3	<u>3</u> 2	$\frac{87}{2}, \frac{9}{2}$	$\frac{95}{2}, \frac{17}{2}$	$\frac{7}{2}, \frac{25}{2}$	$\frac{15}{2}, \frac{33}{2}$	$\frac{23}{2}, \frac{41}{2}$	$\frac{31}{2}, \frac{49}{2}$	$\frac{39}{2}, \frac{57}{2}$
0	0,0	4,4	8,8	12,12	16,16	20,20	0,0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{93}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}, \frac{11}{2}$	$\frac{13}{2}, \frac{19}{2}$	$\frac{21}{2}, \frac{27}{2}$	$\frac{29}{2}, \frac{35}{2}$	$\frac{37}{2}, \frac{43}{2}$	$\frac{45}{2}, \frac{51}{2}$
	0	1	2	િત	4	5	6	r	0	1	2	ર	4	5	6

• Kac tables of Bezout conjugates $\{j,\overline{j}\}\Big|_{h=0}$ and $\{j+\frac{1}{2},\overline{j+\frac{1}{2}}\}\Big|_{h=1}$ for (p,p') = (3,4). The periodicity is P = 2n in the left panel and P = 4n in the right panel. Only Bezout conjugates within the framed box in the lower left contribute to the modular covariant partition functions.

r

Checks on Conjectured Standard Module Traces

• For critical bond percolation (dense case with (p, p') = (2, 3) and $\gamma = 0$), the conjecture can be confirmed because all of the eigenvalues are known analytically [MDKP2017].

• For critical site percolation (dilute case with (p, p') = (2, 3) and $\gamma = 0, \pi$), the conjecture can be checked against the 162 leading eigenvalues obtained numerically [MDKP2023] by solving the logarithmic form of the Bethe ansatz equations.

• Indeed, for (p, p') = (2, 3) our conjecture agrees, in both dense and dilute cases, with our previous results for the four twisted conformal partition functions.

• Our conjecture, results from simply replacing $\Delta_{r,s}^{2,3} \mapsto \Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'}$. In the dense cases, for general (p,p'), our results agree with those of [PasquierSaleur90] based on mappings.

• For the "full" partition function (obtained by summing over h, v = 0, 1), with general γ , our conjecture leads to an infinite sesquilinear Verma form that (after some nontrivial number theory!) agrees with the result of [FSZ87].

• In all cases, our conjecture leads to modular covariant partition functions.

• For $\gamma = 0$, the modular invariant partition functions $\mathcal{Z}^{(0,0)}(\frac{p}{p'})$ agree with the conjectured affine u(1) sesquilinear forms of Pearce-Rasmussen [PR2011] with $n_{p,p'} = -1$ for all p, p'.

Conclusion

• Based on our key conjecture, exact expressions are proposed for the modular covariant partition functions of critical dense $A_1^{(1)}$ and dilute $A_2^{(2)}$ loop models on the square lattice. These are expressed as sesquilinear forms in (i) generic Verma characters for $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \in (0, 1)$ with general twists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and (ii) affine u(1) characters for $\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \in \mathbb{Q}$ with zero twist ($\gamma = 0$).

• These results extend the known results for the modular invariant partition function, based on Coulomb methods, to the full set of four combinations of periodic/antiperiodic boundary conditions with h, v = 0, 1. For $\gamma = 0$, the results involve generalized (half-integer) Bezout conjugate pairs.

• All these results apply equally to the 6-vertex and Izergin-Korepin 19-vertex models.

• Despite being described by sl(2) and sl(3) models respectively, all of the conformal data and partition functions of these dense and dilute loop models precisely coincide. This implies a very strong form of universality between these critical dense and dilute loop models as log CFTs.

• Specifically, these results imply a very strong form of universality between critical bond percolation on the square lattice [MDKP2017] and critical site percolation on the triangular lattice [MDKP2023] as log CFTs with c = 0.

• Similarly, the coincidence of all these conformal results imply a very strong form of *universality* between critical dense [PR2007,PRV2010] and dilute polymers on the square lattice as log CFTs with c = -2.

Thank you for your attention!

