# On correlation functions for open XXZ and XYZ spin chains 

## Véronique TERRAS

CNRS - LPTMS, Univ. Paris Saclay

based on joined works with G. Niccoli (XXZ and XYZ with non-diagonal b.c., $T=0$ ), and K. K. Kozlowski (XXZ with diagonal b.c. $T>0$ )

Mathematics and Physics of Integrability (MPI2024)
MATRIX - Creswick — July 11, 2024

## The open XXZ/XYZ chain with boundary fields

$$
H_{\times X Z}^{\text {open }}=\sum_{m=1}^{L-1}\left[\sigma_{m}^{x} \sigma_{m+1}^{x}+\sigma_{m}^{y} \sigma_{m+1}^{y}+\Delta \sigma_{m}^{z} \sigma_{m+1}^{z}\right]+\sum_{a \in\{x, y, z\}}\left[h_{+}^{a} \sigma_{1}^{a}+h_{-}^{a} \sigma_{L}^{a}\right]
$$

. space of states: $\mathcal{H}=\otimes_{n=1}^{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ with $\mathcal{H}_{n} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2}$

- $\sigma_{m}^{\times, y, z} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{H}_{n}\right)$ : local spin- $1 / 2$ operators (Pauli matrices) at site $m$
- anisotropy parameter $\Delta=\cosh \eta$
- boundary fields $h_{ \pm}^{x, y, z}$ parametrised in terms of 6 boundary parameters $\varsigma_{ \pm}, \kappa_{ \pm}, \tau_{ \pm}$, or alternatively $\varphi_{ \pm}, \psi_{ \pm}, \tau_{ \pm}$:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h_{ \pm}^{\times}=2 \kappa_{ \pm} \sinh \eta \frac{\cosh \tau_{ \pm}}{\sinh \varsigma_{ \pm}}, & h_{ \pm}^{y}=2 i \kappa_{ \pm} \sinh \eta \frac{\sinh \tau_{ \pm}}{\sinh \varsigma_{ \pm}}, \quad h_{ \pm}^{z}=\sinh \eta \operatorname{coth} \varsigma_{ \pm} \\
\sinh \varphi_{ \pm} \cosh \psi_{ \pm}=\frac{\sinh \varsigma_{ \pm}}{2 \kappa_{ \pm}}, & \cosh \varphi_{ \pm} \sinh \psi_{ \pm}=\frac{\cosh \varsigma_{ \pm}}{2 \kappa_{ \pm}}
\end{array}
$$

Question: Correlation functions $\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{i_{j}}^{\alpha_{j}}\right\rangle$ ?
Previous works: [Jimbo et al. 95] from $q$-vertex operators, [Kitanine et al 07] from ABA ( $h_{ \pm}^{\times}=h_{ \pm}^{y}=0$ )

## The open XXZ/XYZ chain with boundary fields

$$
H_{X Y Z}^{\text {open }}=\sum_{a \in\{x, y, z\}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{L} J_{a} \sigma_{n}^{a} \sigma_{n+1}^{a}+h_{+}^{a} \sigma_{1}^{a}+h_{-}^{a} \sigma_{L}^{a}\right]
$$

boundary fields parametrised in terms of 6 boundary parameters $c_{ \pm}^{\text {a }}$, $a=x, y, z$, or alternatively $\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}, \ell=1,2,3$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
J_{x}=\frac{\theta_{4}(\eta)}{\theta_{4}(0)}, & h_{ \pm}^{\times}=c_{ \pm}^{\times} \frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{4}(0)}=\frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{4}(0)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{4}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}, \\
J_{y}=\frac{\theta_{3}(\eta)}{\theta_{3}(0)}, & h_{ \pm}^{y}=i c_{ \pm}^{y} \frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{3}(0)}=-i \frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{3}(0)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}, \\
J_{z}=\frac{\theta_{2}(\eta)}{\theta_{2}(0)}, & h_{ \pm}^{z}=c_{ \pm}^{z} \frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{2}(0)}=\frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{2}(0)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{2}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

with $\theta_{i}(u) \equiv \theta_{i}(u \mid \omega)(\Im(\omega)>0)$
Question: Correlation functions $\left\langle\prod_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{i_{j}}^{\alpha_{j}}\right\rangle$ ?
Previous works: [Hara 00] from $q$-vertex operators

## A brief reminder of the $X X Z$ periodic case

Correlation functions of the XXZ periodic chain at $T=0$ can be computed (among other methods) within ABA
$\rightarrow$ numerical results [Caux et al. 05...]
$\rightarrow$ analytical derivation of the large distance asymptotic behavior at the thermodynamic limit. . [Kitanine, Kozlowski, Maillet, Slavnov, VT 08, 11...]

Both approaches are based

- on the form factor decomposition of the correlation functions:

$$
\left\langle\psi_{g}\right| \sigma_{n}^{\alpha} \sigma_{n^{\prime}}^{\beta}\left|\psi_{g}\right\rangle=\sum_{\substack{\text { eigenstatas } \\\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle}}\left\langle\psi_{g}\right| \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \sigma_{n^{\prime}}^{\beta}\left|\psi_{g}\right\rangle
$$

- on the exact determinant representations for the form factors $\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle$ in finite volume [Kitanine, Maillet, VT 1999], obtained from
- the action of local operators on Bethe states (using the solution of the quantum inverse problem, e.g. $\left.\sigma_{n}^{-}=t(0)^{n-1} B(0) t(0)^{-n}\right)$
. the use of Slavnov's determinant representation for the scalar products of Bethe states [Slavnov 89]

$$
\left\langle\{\mu\}_{\text {off-shell }} \mid\{\lambda\}_{\text {on-shell }}\right\rangle \propto \operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}\left[\frac{\partial \tau\left(\mu_{j} \mid\{\lambda\}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{k}}\right]
$$

where $t\left(\mu_{j}\right)|\{\lambda\}\rangle=\tau\left(\mu_{j} \mid\{\lambda\}\right)|\{\lambda\}\rangle$

## A brief reminder of the $X X Z$ periodic case

Correlation functions of the XXZ periodic chain at $T=0$ can be computed (among other methods) within ABA
$\rightarrow$ numerical results [Caux et al. 05...]
$\rightarrow$ analytical derivation of the large distance asymptotic behavior at the thermodynamic limit. . . [Kitanine, Kozlowski, Maillet, Slavnov, VT 08, 11...]

Both approaches are based

- on the form factor decomposition of the correlation functions:

$$
\left\langle\psi_{g}\right| \sigma_{n}^{\alpha} \sigma_{n^{\prime}}^{\beta}\left|\psi_{g}\right\rangle=\sum_{\substack{\text { eigenstates } \\\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle}}\left\langle\psi_{g}\right| \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \sigma_{n^{\prime}}^{\beta}\left|\psi_{g}\right\rangle
$$

- on the exact determinant representations for the form factors $\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle$ in finite volume [Kitanine, Maillet, VT 1999] ,

At $T>0$, correlation functions as sum over thermal form factors within the QTM approach ([Dugave, Göhmann, Kozlowski 12] and further works...)
see J. Suzuki's talk
$\rightsquigarrow$ asymptotic behaviour at low-T

## The reflection algebra for the $\mathrm{XXZ} / \mathrm{XYZ}$ open spin chain

The open spin chains are solvable in the framework of the representation theory of the reflection algebra (or boundary Yang-Baxter algebra) [Sklyanin 88]

- generators $\mathcal{U}_{i j}(\lambda), 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \leftarrow$ elements of the boundary monodromy matrix $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$
- commutation relations given by the reflection equation:

$$
R_{12}(\lambda-\mu) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu)=\mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda-\mu)
$$

## The reflection algebra for the $\mathrm{XXZ} / \mathrm{XYZ}$ open spin chain

The open spin chains are solvable in the framework of the representation theory of the reflection algebra (or boundary Yang-Baxter algebra) [Sklyanin 88]

- generators $\mathcal{U}_{i j}(\lambda), 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \leftarrow$ elements of the boundary monodromy matrix $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$
- commutation relations given by the reflection equation:

$$
R_{12}(\lambda-\mu) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu)=\mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda-\mu)
$$

$\hookrightarrow$ most general $2 \times 2$ trigonometric solution of the refl. eq [de Vega, Gonzalez-Ruiz; Ghoshal, Zamolodchikov 93] :

$$
K(\lambda ; \varsigma, \kappa, \tau)=\frac{1}{\sinh \varsigma}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sinh \left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}+\varsigma\right) & \kappa e^{\tau} \sinh (2 \lambda-\eta) \\
\kappa e^{-\tau} \sinh (2 \lambda-\eta) & \sinh \left(\varsigma-\lambda+\frac{\eta}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ boundary matrices $K^{+}(\lambda) \equiv K\left(\lambda+\eta / 2 ; \varsigma_{+}, \kappa_{+}, \tau_{+}\right)$and $K^{-}(\lambda) \equiv K\left(\lambda-\eta / 2 ; \varsigma_{-}, \kappa_{-}, \tau_{-}\right)$describing left/right boundary fields:

$$
h_{ \pm}^{x}=2 \kappa_{ \pm} \sinh \eta \frac{\cosh \tau_{ \pm}}{\sinh \varsigma_{ \pm}}, \quad h_{ \pm}^{y}=2 i \kappa_{ \pm} \sinh \eta \frac{\sinh \tau_{ \pm}}{\sinh \varsigma_{ \pm}}, \quad h_{ \pm}^{z}=\sinh \eta \operatorname{coth} \varsigma_{ \pm}
$$

## The reflection algebra for the $\mathrm{XXZ} / \mathrm{XYZ}$ open spin chain

The open spin chains are solvable in the framework of the representation theory of the reflection algebra (or boundary Yang-Baxter algebra) [Sklyanin 88]

- generators $\mathcal{U}_{i j}(\lambda), 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \quad \leftarrow \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { elements of the } \\ & \quad \text { boundary monodromy matrix } \mathcal{U}(\lambda)\end{aligned}$
- commutation relations given by the reflection equation:

$$
R_{12}(\lambda-\mu) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu)=\mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda-\mu)
$$

$\hookrightarrow$ most general $2 \times 2$ elliptic solution of the refl. eq [Inami, Konno 94; Hou, Shi,
Fan, Yang 95] :

$$
K(\lambda) \equiv K\left(\lambda ; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)=\frac{\theta_{1}(2 \lambda-\eta)}{2 \theta_{1}\left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)}\left[\mathbb{I}+c^{x} \frac{\theta_{1}\left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)}{\theta_{4}\left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)} \sigma^{x}+i c^{y} \frac{\theta_{1}\left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)}{\theta_{3}\left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)} \sigma^{y}+c^{z} \frac{\theta_{1}\left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)}{\theta_{2}\left(\lambda-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)} \sigma^{z}\right],
$$

with coefficients $c^{x}, c^{y}, c^{z}$ given in terms of three boundary parameters $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ as

$$
c^{x}=\prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{4}\left(\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}\right)}, \quad c^{y}=-\prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}\right)}, \quad c^{z}=\prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{2}\left(\alpha_{\ell}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}\right)} .
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ boundary matrices $K^{+}(\lambda) \equiv K\left(\lambda+\eta ;\left\{\alpha_{\ell}^{+}\right\}\right)$and $K^{-}(\lambda) \equiv K\left(\lambda ;\left\{\alpha_{\ell}^{-}\right\}\right)$ describing left/right boundary fields:

$$
h_{ \pm}^{\times}=\frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{4}(0)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{4}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}, \quad h_{ \pm}^{y}=-i \frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{3}(0)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}, \quad h_{ \pm}^{z}=\frac{\theta_{1}(\eta)}{\theta_{2}(0)} \prod_{\ell \ell=1}^{3} \frac{\theta_{2}\left(\alpha_{\ell}^{ \pm}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\underline{\underline{\ell}}}}^{ \pm}\right)}
$$

## The reflection algebra for the $\mathrm{XXZ} / \mathrm{XYZ}$ open spin chain

The open spin chains are solvable in the framework of the representation theory of the reflection algebra (or boundary Yang-Baxter algebra) [Sklyanin 88]

- generators $\mathcal{U}_{i j}(\lambda), 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \leftarrow$ elements of the boundary monodromy matrix $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$
- commutation relations given by the reflection equation:

$$
R_{12}(\lambda-\mu) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu)=\mathcal{U}_{2}(\mu) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu-\eta) \mathcal{U}_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda-\mu)
$$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{U}(\lambda)=T(\lambda) K^{-}(\lambda) \hat{T}(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}(\lambda) & \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \\ \mathcal{C}(\lambda) & \mathcal{D}(\lambda)\end{array}\right) \quad$ with $\hat{T}(\lambda) \propto \sigma^{y} T^{t}(-\lambda) \sigma^{y}$
$\rightsquigarrow$ transfer matrix: $\quad t(\lambda)=\operatorname{tr}\left\{K^{+}(\lambda) \mathcal{U}(\lambda)\right\} \quad[t(\lambda), t(\mu)]=0$

$$
\left.H^{\text {open }} \propto \frac{d}{d \lambda} \log t(\lambda)\right|_{\lambda=\eta / 2}
$$

## Solution by $A B A$ in the $X X Z$ diagonal case

When both boundary matrices $K^{ \pm}$are diagonal ( $\kappa_{ \pm}=0$, i.e. boundary fields along $\sigma_{1}^{z}$ and $\sigma_{N}^{2}$ only):

- the bulk reference state $|0\rangle=|\uparrow \uparrow \ldots \uparrow\rangle$ can still be used to construct the eigenstates as Bethe states in the ABA framework [Sklyanin 88]

$$
|\{\lambda\}\rangle=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{B}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)|0\rangle \in \mathcal{H}, \quad\langle\{\lambda\}|=\langle 0| \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}\left(\lambda_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{*}
$$

■ $\exists$ generalization of Slavnov's determinant representation for the scalar products of Bethe states $\left\langle\{\mu\}_{\text {off-shell }} \mid\{\lambda\}_{\text {on-shell }}\right\rangle$ [Tsuchiya 98; Wang 02]

- but a simple generalization of the quantum inverse problem to the boundary case (i.e. expressions of $\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}$ in terms of elements of the boundary monodromy matrix) is missing (except at site 1 ) $\rightsquigarrow$ no simple closed formula for the form factors $\langle\{\mu\}| \sigma_{m}^{\alpha}|\{\lambda\}\rangle$
- correlation functions in the ABA framework ? [Kitanine et al. 07]
- decompose boundary Bethe states into bulk Bethe states
. use the bulk inverse problem to compute the action of local operators
- reconstruct the result in terms of boundary Bethe states
$\rightsquigarrow$ multiple sums over scalar products
$\rightsquigarrow$ multiple integrals in the half-infinite chain limit (recovering the results of [Jimbo et al. 95] from $q$-vertex operators)


## Questions

- more explicit representations for correlation functions at $T=0$ ?
$\rightsquigarrow$ magnetization at distance $m$ from the boundary (explicit dependance on $m$ ) ?
- temperature case ? (with K. Kozlowski)

■ case of non-longitudinal boundary fields (non-diagonal $K$ matrices) ? (with G. Niccoli)

- XYZ case ? (in progress with G. Niccoli)


## The temperature case ?

Consider the XXZ chain with longitudinal boundary fields in a uniform external magnetic field $h$ :

$$
H_{h}=H-\frac{h}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sigma_{k}^{z}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\sum_{m=1}^{L-1}\left\{\sigma_{m}^{x} \sigma_{m+1}^{x}+\sigma_{m}^{y} \sigma_{m+1}^{y}+\Delta \sigma_{m}^{z} \sigma_{m+1}^{z}\right\}+h_{-}^{z} \sigma_{1}^{z}+h_{+}^{z} \sigma_{L}^{z} \\
& \Delta=\cos \zeta \quad h_{ \pm}^{z}=\sinh (-i \zeta) \operatorname{coth} \xi_{ \pm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $r$ local operators $\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}$ acting on sites $m_{1}+1, \ldots, m_{r}+1$, we want to compute the thermal average

$$
\mathbb{E}_{L ; T}\left[\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right]=\frac{\operatorname{tr}_{1, \ldots, L}\left[\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)} e^{-\frac{H_{h}}{T}}\right]}{\operatorname{tr}_{1, \ldots, L}\left[e^{-\frac{H_{h}}{T}}\right]}
$$

and its thermodynamic limit:

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right\rangle_{T}=\lim _{L \rightarrow+\infty} \mathbb{E}_{L ; T}\left[\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right]
$$

$\rightarrow$ use of the Quantum Transfer Matrix approach (cf Junji's talk...)

## The QTM approach for the open spin chain

Adaptation of the method to the open case to compute the surface free energy of the XXZ chain

- Göhmann, Bortz and Frahm (2005) : expression of the surface free energy for the XXZ chain in the thermodynamic limit as a Trotter limit of the expectation value, in the dominant eigenstate of the quantum transfer matrix, of a certain (non-local) 'finite temperature boundary operator'
- Kozlowski, Pozsgay (2012) : interpret the above mean value as a product of two specific cases of partition functions of the six-vertex model with reflecting ends
$\rightarrow$ expression in terms of Tsuchiya's determinant representation
$\rightarrow$ possibility to take the Trotter limit in the formula
$\rightarrow$ simple integral representation for the boundary magnetization
$\rightarrow$ possibility to study the low-T limit
- Pozsgay, Rakos (2018) : generalisation to arbitrary boundary conditions ( $h=0$ )

Correlation functions ?

## A Trotter approximant for multi-point functions

Using

$$
\left(t\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \cdot t^{-1}(0)\right)^{N}=e^{-\frac{H}{T}} \cdot\left(1+O\left(N^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

with

$$
\beta=\frac{\sinh (-i \zeta)}{T}, \quad \Delta=\cos \zeta
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{L ; T} & {\left[\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right] } \\
& =\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{1, \ldots, L}\left[\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)} \cdot t^{N}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \cdot t^{-N}(0) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{L} e^{\frac{h}{2 T} \sigma_{n}^{z}}\right]}{\operatorname{tr}_{1, \ldots, L}\left[t^{N}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \cdot t^{-N}(0) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{L} e^{\frac{h}{2 T} \sigma_{n}^{z}}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing that

$$
t(\lambda)=\operatorname{tr}_{a, b}\left[P_{a, b}(\lambda) T_{b}^{t_{b}}(\lambda) \hat{T}_{a}(\lambda)\right]
$$

where $P_{a, b}$ is a one-dimensional projector:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{a, b}(\lambda) & =K_{a}^{+}(\lambda) \mathcal{P}_{a b}^{t_{a}} K_{a}^{-}(\lambda) \\
& =K_{a}^{+}(\lambda)\left(|+\rangle_{a}|+\rangle_{b}+|-\rangle_{a}|-\rangle_{b}\right)\left(\left\langle+\left.\right|_{a}\left\langle+\left.\right|_{b}+\left\langle-\left.\right|_{a}\left\langle-\left.\right|_{b}\right) K_{a}^{-}(\lambda),\right.\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Göhmann, Bortz and Frahm have rewritten $t^{N}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right)$ in terms of the quantum monodromy matrix $T_{q ; j}(\lambda)$ with 'quantum space' $q \equiv a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 N}$ and 'auxiliary space' $j$ :

$$
t^{N}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{L} e^{\frac{h}{2 T} \sigma_{n}^{2}}=\operatorname{tr}_{q}\left[\Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) T_{q ; 1}(0) \ldots T_{q ; L}(0)\right], \quad q \equiv a_{1} \ldots a_{2 N}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{q}(\varsigma) & =P_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\varsigma) P_{a_{3} a_{4}}(\varsigma) \ldots P_{\mathrm{a}_{2 N-1} a_{2 N}}(\varsigma) \\
T_{q ; j}(\lambda) & =R_{\mathrm{a}_{2 N j}( }^{\mathrm{a}_{2 N}}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}-\lambda\right) R_{j_{a_{2 N-1}}}\left(\lambda-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \ldots R_{\mathrm{a}_{2} j}^{t_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}-\lambda\right) R_{j a_{1}}\left(\lambda-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) e^{\frac{h}{2 T} \sigma_{j}^{2}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{q}(\lambda) & B_{q}(\lambda) \\
C_{q}(\lambda) & D_{q}(\lambda)
\end{array}\right)_{[j]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finite-size multi-point function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{L ; T} & {\left[\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right] } \\
= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}_{1, \ldots, L} \operatorname{tr}_{q}\left\{\Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) T_{q ; 1}(0) \ldots T_{q ; L}(0) \mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right\} / Z_{N, L} \\
= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}_{q}\left\{\Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \cdot\left[t_{q}(0)\right]^{m_{1}} \cdot \operatorname{tr}\left[T_{q}(0) \mathcal{O}^{(1)}\right] \cdot\left[t_{q}(0)\right]^{m_{2}-m_{1}-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \operatorname{tr}\left[T_{q}(0) \mathcal{O}^{(2)}\right] \cdot\left[t_{q}(0)\right]^{m_{3}-m_{2}-1} \ldots \operatorname{tr}\left[T_{q}(0) \mathcal{O}^{(r)}\right]\left[t_{q}(0)\right]^{L-m_{r}-1}\right\} / Z_{N, L}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{N, L} & =\operatorname{tr}_{1, \ldots, L} \operatorname{tr}_{q}\left\{\Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) T_{q ; 1}(0) \ldots T_{q ; L}(0)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{q}\left\{\Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \cdot\left[t_{q}(0)\right]^{L}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. $t_{q}=\operatorname{tr} T_{q}$ is the same QTM as in the periodic case $\rightarrow$ use the results from the study of the periodic case (see Junji's talk)

## Assuming

- that one can exchange the Trotter limit $N \rightarrow+\infty$ and thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow+\infty$,

■ that the QTM admits a non-degenerate, real and positive maximal eigenvalue $\hat{\Lambda}_{0}$ with corresponding eigenstate $\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle$
one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right\rangle_{T} \\
& =\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \cdot\left[t_{q}(0)\right]^{m_{1}} \cdot \Xi^{(1)} \cdot\left[t_{q}(0)\right]^{m_{2}-m_{1}-1} \cdot \Xi^{(2)} \ldots \bar{\Xi}^{(r)}\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle \cdot \hat{\Lambda}_{0}^{m_{r}+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which

$$
\Xi^{(i)}=\operatorname{tr}\left[T_{q}(0) \mathcal{O}^{(i)}\right]
$$

## Thermal form factor expansion at finite Trotter number

Supposing that the quantum transfer matrix $t_{q}(0)$ is diagonalizable with eigenvectors $\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle$ and associated eigenvalues $\hat{\Lambda}_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{m_{1}+1}^{(1)} \ldots \mathcal{O}_{m_{r}+1}^{(r)}\right\rangle_{T}= & \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}} \frac{\hat{\Lambda}_{k_{1}}^{m_{1}} \prod_{i=2}^{r} \hat{\Lambda}_{k_{i}}^{m_{i}-m_{i-1}-1}}{\hat{\Lambda}_{0}^{m_{r}+1}} \\
& \times \underbrace{\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right)\left|\Psi_{k_{1}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle}}_{\text {Boundary factor }} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \underbrace{\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{k_{i}}\right| \Xi^{(i)}\left|\Psi_{k_{i+1}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{k_{i}} \mid \Psi_{k_{i}}\right\rangle}}_{\text {QTM form factors }}
\end{aligned}
$$

- the QTM eigenstates for finite $N$ can be constructed by Bethe ansatz and are described by solutions of Bethe equations (see Junji's talk)
- the above sum runs over the same normalised QTM matrix elements as in the bulk case (given as ratios of Slavnov/Gaudin determinants)
$\rightarrow$ we can directly use the study of [Dugave, Göhmann, Kozlowski 12] and further works...
- the whole dependence on the boundary is contained in the boundary factor, which can be reformulated, following [Kozlowski, Pozsgay 12] as a ratio of partition functions of the six-vertex model with reflecting ends ( $\rightarrow$ ratio of Tsuchiya's determinants)


## The boundary factor

Let $\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle \equiv\left|\Psi\left(\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left|\Psi_{k_{1}}\right\rangle \equiv\left|\Psi\left(\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{M}\right)\right\rangle$
Then, following [ Kozlowski, Pozsgay 12] :

$$
\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right)\left|\Psi_{k_{1}}\right\rangle=\delta_{N, M} \mathcal{F}^{(+)}\left(\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}\right) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{(-)}\left(\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)
$$

in which

$$
\mathcal{F}^{(-)}\left(\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)=e^{-\frac{N h}{2 T}} \mathcal{Z}_{N}\left(\left\{-\frac{\beta}{N}\right\}_{1}^{N} ;\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N} ; \xi_{-}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{N}\left(\left\{\xi_{a}\right\}_{1}^{N} ;\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N} ; \xi_{-}\right)$is the partition function of the six-vertex model with reflecting ends (given by a Tsuchiya determinant):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}_{N}\left(\left\{\xi_{a}\right\}_{1}^{N} ;\left\{\mu_{a}\right\}_{1}^{N} ; \xi_{-}\right)=\frac{\prod_{a, b=1}^{N} \prod_{\epsilon= \pm}\left\{\sinh \left(\xi_{a}+\epsilon \mu_{b}\right) \sinh \left(\xi_{a}-i \zeta+\epsilon \mu_{b}\right)\right\}}{\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left\{\sinh \left(\xi_{a}-\xi_{b}\right) \sinh \left(\xi_{a}+\xi_{b}-i \zeta\right) \prod_{\epsilon= \pm} \sinh \left(\mu_{b}+\epsilon \mu_{a}\right)\right\}} \\
& \times \operatorname{det}_{N}\left[\frac{\sinh (-i \zeta) \sinh \left(\xi_{-}+\mu_{b}\right) \sinh \left(2 \xi_{a}\right)}{\prod_{\epsilon= \pm} \sinh \left(\xi_{a}-i \zeta+\epsilon \mu_{b}\right) \sinh \left(\xi_{a}+\epsilon \mu_{b}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right)\left|\Psi_{k_{1}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \Pi_{q}\left(-\frac{\beta}{N}\right)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle}=\delta_{N, M} \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(-)}\left(\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)}{\mathcal{F}^{(-)}\left(\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)}$
Remark: depends only on $\xi_{-}$(and not on $\xi_{+}$)

## Taking the Trotter limit

Can be done as usual:

- for a given solution $\left\{\mu_{a}\right\}_{1}^{M}$ of the Bethe equations, introduce the counting function

$$
\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\xi \mid\left\{\mu_{a}\right\}_{1}^{M}\right)=e^{-\frac{h}{T}}(-1)^{s} \prod_{k=1}^{M} \frac{\sinh \left(i \zeta-\xi+\mu_{k}\right)}{\sinh \left(i \zeta+\xi-\mu_{k}\right)}\left[\frac{\sinh \left(\xi-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \sinh \left(i \zeta+\xi+\frac{\beta}{N}\right)}{\sinh \left(\xi+\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \sinh \left(i \zeta-\xi+\frac{\beta}{N}\right)}\right]^{N}
$$

with $s=N-M$, such that $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\mu_{j} \mid\left\{\mu_{a}\right\}_{1}^{M}\right)=-1, j=1, \ldots, M$.

- fix a domain $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{C}=\partial \mathcal{D}$
- which contains a neighbourhood of the origin ( $\rightsquigarrow \pm \frac{\beta}{N} \in \mathcal{D}$ )
- which contains all the Bethe roots $\left\{\lambda_{a}\right\}_{1}^{N}$ of the dominant state but no other roots of $1+\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\xi \mid\left\{\lambda_{a}\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)$
- characterize a sub-dominant eigenstate by
- the set $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}=\left\{\hat{y}_{j}\right\}$ of particule roots (Bethe roots outsite of $\mathcal{D}$ ),
- and the set $\hat{\mathcal{X}}=\left\{\hat{x}_{j}\right\}$ of holes (solutions of $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\xi \mid\left\{\mu_{a}\right\}_{1}^{M}\right)=-1$ which are not Bethe roots) inside $\mathcal{D}$
$\rightsquigarrow$ shortcut notation $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ for the counting function of a state with a given configuration $\mathbb{Y}=(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{Y}})$ of particles and holes
- rewrite the QTM spectrum in terms of non-linear integral equations [Klümper 92; Destri, de Vega. 92] satisfied by $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbb{Y}}(\xi)=\mathrm{e}^{\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathbb{Y}}(\xi)}$ :

$$
\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathbb{Y}}(\xi)=-\frac{h}{T}+\mathfrak{w}_{N}(\xi)-i \pi s+i \sum_{y \in \hat{\mathbb{Y}}} \theta(\xi-y)+\oint_{\mathcal{C}} K(\xi-u) \mathcal{L}\left[1+e^{\hat{\mathfrak{l}}_{\mathbb{Y}}}\right](u) d u
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{w}_{N}(\xi)=N \ln \left(\frac{\sinh \left(\xi-\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \sinh \left(\xi+\frac{\beta}{N}-i \zeta\right)}{\sinh \left(\xi+\frac{\beta}{N}\right) \sinh \left(\xi-\frac{\beta}{N}-i \zeta\right)}\right) \\
& \theta(\lambda)=i \ln \frac{\sinh (i \zeta+\lambda)}{\sinh (i \zeta-\lambda)} \quad K(\lambda)=\frac{\theta^{\prime}(\lambda)}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

- rewrite the QTM form factors and boundary factors in terms of particles, holes, and appropriate contour integrals over $\mathcal{C}$ involving the counting function $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathbb{Y}}(\xi)$
- assuming that $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathbb{Y}} \underset{N \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ pointwise on $\mathcal{C}$, and the existence of the limit $x_{j}$ and $y_{j}$ of the particle and hole roots $\hat{x}_{j}$ and $\hat{y}_{j}$ (see [Göhmann, Goomanee, Kozlowski, Suzuki 20] ), one obtains an integral equation for $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}$, and one can express the Trotter limit of the TQM form factors and boundary factors in terms of $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ and $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{j}\right\}$


## Result for the one-point function

$$
\left\langle\sigma_{m+1}^{z}\right\rangle_{T}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[2 T \partial_{h^{\prime}} D_{m} \mathcal{Q}_{N}\left(h^{\prime}, m\right)\right]_{h^{\prime}=h}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{m}=u_{m+1}-u_{m} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Q}_{N}\left(h^{\prime}, m\right)=\sum_{\left\{\mu_{a}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1}^{N}} e^{\frac{N\left(h^{\prime}-h\right)}{2 T}}\left(\frac{\tau_{h^{\prime}}\left(0 \mid\left\{\mu_{a}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)}{\tau_{h}\left(0 \mid\left\{\lambda_{a}(h)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)}\right)^{m} \\
& \times \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(-)}\left(\left\{\mu_{a}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)}{\mathcal{F}^{(-)}\left(\left\{\lambda_{a}(h)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left\langle\Psi\left(\left\{\mu_{a}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right) \mid \Psi\left(\left\{\lambda_{a}(h)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi\left(\left\{\mu_{a}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right) \mid \Psi\left(\left\{\mu_{a}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1}^{N}\right)\right\rangle}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

leads to the thermal form-factor expansion:

$$
\left\langle\sigma_{m+1}^{z}\right\rangle_{T}=\left.2 T \partial_{h^{\prime}} D_{m} \mathcal{Q}\left(h^{\prime}, m\right)\right|_{h^{\prime}=h} \quad \text { with } \quad \mathcal{Q}\left(h^{\prime}, m\right)=\sum_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { particle/hole } \\
\text { contigurations } \mathbb{Y}
\end{array}}\left(\frac{\tau_{\mathbb{Y}}(0)}{\tau_{\emptyset}(0)}\right)^{m} \mathcal{A}_{h, h^{\prime}}^{(z)}(\mathbb{Y})
$$

and $\mathcal{A}_{h, h^{\prime}}^{(z)}(\mathbb{Y})$ can be decomposed into

- a regular part (quite complicated, should have finite limit when $T \rightarrow 0^{+}$)
- a singular part (should give power law behaviour when $T \rightarrow 0^{+}$)

To do: study the low temperature limit

## The non-diagonal case at $\mathrm{T}=0$ ?

Description of the spectrum:

- It is possible to generalize usual Bethe ansatz equations to the open XXZ chain with non-longitudinal boundary fields with one constraint on the boundary parameters $\varphi_{ \pm}, \psi_{ \pm}, \tau_{ \pm}$[Nepomechie 03]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cosh \left(\tau_{+}-\tau_{-}\right) \\
= & \epsilon_{\varphi_{+}} \epsilon_{\varphi_{-}} \cosh \left(\epsilon_{\varphi_{+}} \varphi_{+}+\epsilon_{\varphi_{-}} \varphi_{-}+\epsilon_{\varphi_{+}} \psi_{+}-\epsilon_{\varphi_{-}} \psi_{-}+(L-2 M-1) \eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ (numbers of Bethe roots),

$$
\epsilon_{\varphi_{ \pm}} \in\{+,-\}
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ incomplete in general (except for $M=L$ )
$\rightsquigarrow$ Conjectures [Nepomechie, Ravanini 03]:

- the Bethe equations yield the ground state for $M=\lfloor L / 2\rfloor$
- the solutions for ( $M, \epsilon_{\varphi_{+}}, \epsilon_{\varphi_{-}}$) together with the solutions for ( $M^{\prime}=L-M-1,-\epsilon_{\varphi_{+}},-\epsilon_{\varphi_{-}}$) produce the complete spectrum
- most general boundaries ?
$\exists$ description in terms of inhomogeneity parameters/discrete T-Q
equations (for inhomogeneous models) but no known description in terms of usual Bethe equations
Alternative proposals: Bethe equations with an additional term


## The non-diagonal case at $\mathrm{T}=0$ ?

Description of the spectrum:

- It is possible to generalize usual Bethe ansatz equations to the open $X X Z$ chain with non-longitudinal boundary fields with one constraint on the boundary parameters $\varphi_{ \pm}, \psi_{ \pm}, \tau_{ \pm}$[Nepomechie 03]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cosh \left(\tau_{+}-\tau_{-}\right) \\
= & \epsilon_{\varphi_{+}} \epsilon_{\varphi_{-}} \cosh \left(\epsilon_{\varphi_{+}} \varphi_{+}+\epsilon_{\varphi_{-}} \varphi_{-}+\epsilon_{\varphi_{+}} \psi_{+}-\epsilon_{\varphi_{-}} \psi_{-}+(L-2 M-1) \eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ (numbers of Bethe roots),

$$
\epsilon_{\varphi_{ \pm}} \in\{+,-\}
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ incomplete in general (except for $M=L$ )
$\rightsquigarrow$ Conjectures [Nepomechie, Ravanini 03]:

- the Bethe equations yield the ground state for $M=\lfloor L / 2\rfloor$
- the solutions for ( $M, \epsilon_{\varphi_{+}}, \epsilon_{\varphi_{-}}$) together with the solutions for ( $M^{\prime}=L-M-1,-\epsilon_{\varphi_{+}},-\epsilon_{\varphi_{-}}$) produce the complete spectrum

■ Similar kind of constraint also appear in the XYZ case [Yang, Zhang 06] :

$$
\sum_{\sigma= \pm} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \epsilon_{i}^{\sigma} \alpha_{i}^{\sigma}=(L-2 M-1) \eta
$$

- most general boundaries ?
$\exists$ description in terms of inhomogeneity parameters/discrete T-Q


## The non-diagonal case at $\mathrm{T}=0$ ?

Description of the spectrum:

- It is possible to generalize usual Bethe ansatz equations to the open XXZ chain with non-longitudinal boundary fields with one constraint on the boundary parameters $\varphi_{ \pm}, \psi_{ \pm}, \tau_{ \pm}$[Nepomechie 03]

■ Similar kind of constraint also appear in the XYZ case [Yang, Zhang 06]

- most general boundaries ?
$\exists$ description in terms of inhomogeneity parameters/discrete T-Q equations (for inhomogeneous models) but no known description in terms of usual Bethe equations
Alternative proposals: Bethe equations with an additional term (Off-diagonal Bethe Ansatz...) [Cao et al 13...] or use transfer matrix roots [Qiao et al 21...]


## The non-diagonal case at $\mathrm{T}=0$ ?

Construction of the transfer matrix eigenstates ?

- Under the constraint, construction of some Bethe states by means of a Vertex-IRF transformation [Fan et al. 96; Cao et al 03; Yang, Zhang 07; Filali, Kitanine 11] but problems in the ABA construction of "compatible" sets of Bethe states in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{*}$
$\rightsquigarrow$ scalar products and correlation functions could not be computed
- Alternative methods of construction for general boundaries:
- Modified Bethe Ansatz [Belliard et al 13...]
. Separation of Variables [Frahm et al 10, Niccoli 12, Faldella et al 13...] In particular : connexion to generalized Bethe Ansatz (states and $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{Q} /$ Bethe equations) under the constraint + computation of the scalar products


## Solution by SoV in the general case

Goal: identify a basis $\{|\mathbf{h}\rangle\}_{\mathbf{h} \in\{0,1\}}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\{\langle\mathbf{h}|\}_{\mathbf{h} \in\{0,1\} \perp}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{*}$, with
$\langle\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{k}\rangle \propto \frac{\delta_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}}{V_{\mathbf{h}}(\xi)}$
which "separates the variables" for the transfer matrix spectral problem:

$$
t(\lambda)\left|\Psi_{\tau}\right\rangle=\tau(\lambda)\left|\Psi_{\tau}\right\rangle \quad \text { with } \quad\left|\Psi_{\tau}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{h} \in\{0,1\}^{\perp}} \psi_{\tau}(\mathbf{h})|\mathbf{h}\rangle,
$$

is solved by $\quad \psi_{\tau}(\mathbf{h})=\prod_{n=1}^{L} Q_{\tau}\left(\xi_{n}^{\left(h_{n}\right)}\right) \cdot V_{\mathbf{h}}(\xi)$
where $Q_{\tau}$ and $\tau$ are solution of a discrete version of Baxter's T-Q equation:

$$
\tau(x) Q_{\tau}(x)=\mathbf{A}(x) Q(x+\eta)+\mathbf{A}(-x) Q_{\tau}(x-\eta), \quad x \in \cup_{n=1}^{L}\left\{\xi_{n}^{(0)}, \xi_{n}^{(1)}\right\}
$$

$\rightarrow$ can be constructed by a generalisation of Sklyanin's method [Sklyanin 85,90], see [Niccoli 12...], using Baxter's vertex-IRF transformation (or by some new more general approach [Maillet, Niccoli 19])
$\rightarrow$ works only on an inhomogeneous deformation of the model:

$$
T(\lambda) \longrightarrow T\left(\lambda ; \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{L}\right)
$$

such that the shifted inhomogeneity parameters $\xi_{n}^{\left(h_{n}\right)}=\xi_{n}+\eta / 2-h_{n} \eta$, $1 \leq n \leq L, h_{n} \in\{0,1\}$, are all pairwise distincts
$\rightarrow$ completeness/works for any K-matrices (not both proportional to identity)

## Solution by Sklyanin's SoV approach: more details

1 simplify the expression of $t(\lambda)=\operatorname{tr}\left\{K^{+}(\lambda) \mathcal{U}(\lambda)\right\}$ : use (a trigonometric version of) Baxter's Vertex-IRF transformation to pseudo-diagonalize $K^{+}$
$R_{12}(\lambda-\mu) S_{1}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) S_{2}\left(\mu \mid \alpha, \beta+\sigma_{1}^{2}\right)=S_{2}(\mu \mid \alpha, \beta) S_{1}\left(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right) R_{12}^{\mathrm{SOS}}(\lambda-\mu \mid \beta)$
with

$$
S(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\lambda-\eta(\beta+\alpha)} & e^{\lambda+\eta(\beta-\alpha)} \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) & (X X Z \text { case }) \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\vartheta_{2}(\lambda-(\alpha+\beta) \eta) & \vartheta_{2}(\lambda-(\alpha-\beta) \eta) \\
\vartheta_{3}(\lambda-(\alpha+\beta) \eta) & \vartheta_{3}(\lambda-(\alpha-\beta) \eta)
\end{array}\right) & (X Y Z \text { case })
\end{array} \vartheta_{i}(\lambda)=\theta_{i}(\lambda \mid 2 \omega)\right.
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ gauged transformed boundary monodromy matrix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) & =S^{-1}(\eta / 2-\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) \mathcal{U}(\lambda) S(\lambda-\eta / 2 \mid \alpha, \beta) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) & \mathcal{B}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) \\
\mathcal{C}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) & \mathcal{D}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta)
\end{array}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta: \text { dynamical parameter } \\
\alpha: \text { arbitrary shift }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ fix $\alpha, \beta$ in terms of the ' + '-boundary parameters $\left(\tau_{+}, \varphi_{+}, \psi_{+}\right.$or $\alpha_{\ell}^{+}$, $\ell=1,2,3$ ) (up to some signs/periodicity) such that

$$
t(\lambda)=\bar{a}_{+}(\lambda) \mathcal{A}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta-1)+\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{+}(\lambda) \mathcal{D}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta+1)
$$

2 construct a SoV basis which pseudo-diagonalises $\mathcal{B}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta)$ :

$$
|\mathbf{h}\rangle \equiv|\mathbf{h}, \alpha, \beta+1\rangle_{\mathrm{Sk}} \text { and }\langle\mathbf{h}| \equiv \mathrm{Sk}\langle\alpha, \beta-1, \mathbf{h}|
$$

for $\mathbf{h} \equiv\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{L}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{L}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta-1)|\mathbf{h}, \alpha, \beta-1\rangle_{\mathrm{Sk}}=\mathrm{b}_{R}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) \mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{h}}(\lambda) \mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{h}}(-\lambda)|\mathbf{h}, \alpha, \beta+1\rangle_{\mathrm{Sk}} \\
& \mathrm{Sk}\langle\alpha, \beta+1, \mathbf{h}| \mathcal{B}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta+1)=\mathrm{b}_{L}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) \mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{h}}(\lambda) \mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{h}}(-\lambda) \mathrm{Sk}\langle\alpha, \beta-1, \mathbf{h}|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad a_{\mathbf{h}}(\lambda)=\prod_{n=1}^{L} \phi\left(\lambda-\xi_{n}^{\left(h_{n}\right)}\right) \quad \phi(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\sinh (\lambda) & (\mathrm{XXZ} \text { case }) \\ \theta_{1}(\lambda) & (\mathrm{XYZ} \text { case })\end{cases}
$$

$$
\text { with } \quad \xi_{n}^{\left(h_{n}\right)}=\xi_{n}+\eta / 2-h_{n} \eta
$$

+ orthogonality condition:

$$
\mathrm{Sk}\langle\alpha, \beta-1, \mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{k}, \alpha, \beta+1\rangle_{\mathrm{Sk}} \propto \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}}}{V_{\mathbf{h}}(\xi)}
$$

with $V_{\mathbf{h}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=V\left(\xi_{1}^{\left(h_{1}\right)}, \ldots, \xi_{L}^{\left(h_{L}\right)}\right)=\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq L} \phi\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}-\xi_{j}^{\left(h_{j}\right)}\right) \phi\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}+\xi_{j}^{\left(h_{j}\right)}\right)$

Remark: This construction needs $\left[K^{-}(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta)\right]_{12} \neq 0$

## Spectrum and eigenstates by SoV

- Eigenstates are special cases of separate states:

$$
t(\lambda)\left|\Psi_{\tau}\right\rangle=\tau(\lambda)\left|\Psi_{\tau}\right\rangle \quad \text { with } \quad\left|\Psi_{\tau}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{h} \in\{0,1\}^{\perp}} \prod_{n=1}^{L} Q_{\tau}\left(\xi_{n}^{\left(h_{n}\right)}\right) V_{\mathbf{h}}(\xi)|\mathbf{h}\rangle
$$

where $Q_{\tau}$ and $\tau$ are solution of a discrete $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{Q}$ equation:

$$
\tau(x) Q_{\tau}(x)=\mathbf{A}(x) Q(x+\eta)+\mathbf{A}(-x) Q_{\tau}(x-\eta), \quad x \in \cup_{n=1}^{L}\left\{\xi_{n}^{(0)}, \xi_{n}^{(1)}\right\}
$$

- can always be rewritten in terms of solutions of the form

$$
Q(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{L} \phi\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right) \phi\left(\lambda+\lambda_{j}\right) \quad \phi(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\sinh (\lambda) & (\mathrm{XXZ} \text { case }) \\ \theta_{1}(\lambda) & (\mathrm{XYZ} \text { case })\end{cases}
$$

of a continuous T-Q equation with additional term (cf. off-diagonal BA):

$$
\tau(\lambda) Q(\lambda)=\mathbf{A}(\lambda) Q(\lambda-\eta)+\mathbf{A}(-\lambda) Q(\lambda+\eta)+\mathbf{F}(\lambda),
$$

with $\mathbf{F}\left(\xi_{n}^{(0)}\right)=\mathbf{F}\left(\xi_{n}^{(1)}\right)=0, n=1, \ldots, N(\rightarrow$ completeness $)$

- under the constraint (for a given $M \leq L \&$ given signs), part of the spectrum/eigenstates can be rewritten in terms of solutions

$$
Q(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{M} \phi\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right) \phi\left(\lambda+\lambda_{j}\right) \quad \phi(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\sinh (\lambda) & (\text { XXZ case }) \\ \theta_{1}(\lambda) & (\text { XYZ case })\end{cases}
$$

of the usual (i.e. continuous, without additional term) T-Q equation $\rightsquigarrow$ in terms of usual Bethe equations

## Computation of scalar products

■ Eigenstates are special cases of separate states :
$\langle P|=\sum_{\mathbf{h}} \prod_{n=1}^{L}\left[f\left(\xi_{n}\right)^{h_{n}} P\left(\xi_{n}^{\left(h_{n}\right)}\right)\right] V_{1-\mathbf{h}}(\xi)\langle\mathbf{h}|, \quad|Q\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{h}} \prod_{n=1}^{L} Q\left(\xi_{n}^{\left(h_{n}\right)}\right) V_{\mathbf{h}}(\xi)|\mathbf{h}\rangle$
where $P$ and $Q$ are arbitrary functions

- The scalar products of separate states can be expressed (by construction) as determinants:
$\langle\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{k}\rangle \propto \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}}}{V_{\mathbf{h}}(\xi)}$ with

$$
V_{\mathbf{h}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq L} \phi\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}-\xi_{j}^{\left(h_{j}\right)}\right) \phi\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}+\xi_{j}^{\left(h_{j}\right)}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{L}\left[\tilde{\phi}^{(j)}\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}\right)\right]
$$

$\rightsquigarrow\langle P \mid Q\rangle \propto \operatorname{det}_{1 \leq i, j \leq L}\left[\sum_{h \in\{0,1\}} f\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}\right) P\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}\right) Q\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(h_{i}\right)}\right) \sinh ^{2(j-1)}\left(\xi_{i}^{\left(1-h_{i}\right)}\right)\right]$
However non directly usable for the consideration of the homogeneous/thermodynamic limit...

- For $P$ and $Q$ of the form $\prod_{j=1}^{M} \phi\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right) \phi\left(\lambda+\lambda_{j}\right)$, and under the constraint, these determinants can be transformed into more usual Slavnov-type determinants both in the open XXZ [Kitanine, Maillet, Niccoli, VT 18] or open XYZ case [Niccoli, VT 24]


## Eigenstates as generalised Bethe states

- In the range of Sklyanin's approach, separate states can be reformulated as generalised Bethe states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |Q\rangle_{\mathrm{Sk}} \propto \prod_{j=1 \rightarrow M} \mathcal{B}\left(\lambda_{j} \mid \alpha, \beta-2 j+1\right)\left|\Omega_{\alpha, \beta+1-2 M}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Sk}} \\
& \mathrm{Sk}\langle Q| \propto{ }_{\mathrm{Sk}}\left\langle\Omega_{\alpha, \beta-1+2 M}\right| \prod_{j=1 \rightarrow M} \mathcal{B}\left(\lambda_{j} \mid \alpha, \beta+2 M-2 j+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $Q(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{M} \phi\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right) \phi\left(\lambda+\lambda_{j}\right)$
with $\left|\Omega_{\alpha, \beta+1-2 M}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Sk}}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{Sk}}\left\langle\Omega_{\alpha, \beta-1+2 M}\right|$ special separate states

- With the special choice of $\alpha, \beta$ diagonalising $K^{+}$, and under the constraint, the reference state $\left|\Omega_{\alpha, \beta+1-2 M}\right\rangle$ can be identified with the reference state of the generalized ABA construction of [Fan et al 96; Cao et al 03]:

$$
|\eta, \alpha+\beta+L-1-2 M\rangle \equiv \prod_{n=1}^{L} S_{n}\left(-\xi_{n} \mid \alpha, \beta+n-1-2 M\right)|0\rangle
$$

up to a proportionality coefficient which only depends on $M$

## Computation of correlation functions: general strategy

Compute $\left\langle O_{1 \rightarrow m}\right\rangle \equiv \frac{\langle Q| O_{1 \rightarrow m}|Q\rangle}{\langle Q \mid Q\rangle}$ for $|Q\rangle=$ eigenstate described by homogeneous TQ-equation and $O_{1 \rightarrow m} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\otimes_{n=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}_{n}\right)$ acts on sites 1 to $m$ ?

1 rewrite $|Q\rangle$ as a generalized Bethe state

$$
\prod_{j=1 \rightarrow M} \mathcal{B}\left(\lambda_{j} \mid \alpha, \beta-2 j+1\right)|\eta, \alpha+\beta+L-1-2 M\rangle
$$

2 use a similar strategy as in the diagonal case [Kitanine et al. 07] to act with $O_{1 \rightarrow m}$ on this Bethe state, i.e.
. decompose the boundary Bethe state as a sum of bulk Bethe states

- use the solution of the bulk inverse problem to act with local operators on bulk Bethe states
- reconstruct the result of this action as sums over boundary Bethe states, and hence as a sum over separate states

3 compute the resulting scalar products using the determinant representation for the scalar products of separate states issued from SOV but difficulties due to the use in all the steps of 2 of a gauged transformed boundary/bulk YB algebra!

## Difficulties due to use of the gauged algebra

- the action of the usual basis of local operators given by $E_{n}^{i, j} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{H}_{n}\right)$ (such that $\left.\left(E^{i, j}\right)_{k, \ell}=\delta_{i, k} \delta_{j, \ell}\right)$ is very intricate on the gauged bulk Bethe states
$\rightsquigarrow$ identification of a basis of $\operatorname{End}\left(\otimes_{n=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}_{n}\right)$ whose action is simpler to compute:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{m}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{\prod_{n=1}^{m} E_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{n}}\left(\xi_{n} \mid\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right),\left(\bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right)\right) \mid \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \in\{1,2\}^{m}\right\}
$$

where $\left.E_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{n}}\left(\lambda \mid\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right),\left(\bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right)\right)\right)=S_{n}\left(-\lambda \mid \bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right) E_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{n}} S_{n}^{-1}\left(-\lambda \mid a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ and the gauge parameters $a_{n}, \bar{a}_{n}, b_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}, 1 \leq n \leq m$, are fixed in terms of $\alpha, \beta$ and of the $m$-tuples $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \equiv\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \equiv\left(\epsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \epsilon_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n}=\alpha+1, & b_{n}=\beta-\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-1)^{\epsilon_{r}}, \\
\bar{a}_{n}=\alpha-1, & \bar{b}_{n}=\beta+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}(-1)^{\epsilon_{r}^{\prime}}-\sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{\epsilon_{r}}=b_{n}+2 \tilde{m}_{n+1},
\end{array}
$$

with $\tilde{m}_{n}=\sum_{r=n}^{m}\left(\epsilon_{r}^{\prime}-\epsilon_{r}\right)$.
$\rightsquigarrow$ compute "elementary building blocks" $\left\langle\prod_{n=1}^{m} E_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{n}}\left(\xi_{n} \mid\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right),\left(\bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle$

- the action of $\prod_{n=1}^{m} E_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{n}}\left(\xi_{n} \mid\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right),\left(\bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right)\right)$ for

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(\epsilon_{r}^{\prime}-\epsilon_{r}\right) \neq 0
$$

on the Bethe state

$$
\prod_{j=1 \rightarrow M} \mathcal{B}\left(\lambda_{j} \mid \alpha, \beta-2 j+1\right)|\eta, \alpha+\beta+N-1-2 M\rangle
$$

produces a Bethe state with different number of B-operators and shifted gauge parameter $\beta$
$\rightsquigarrow$ we don't know how to express it simply in terms of separate states
$\rightsquigarrow$ the expression of the resulting scalar product is not known in that case
$\rightsquigarrow$ we had to restrict our study to the computation of "elementary blocks" $\left\langle\prod_{n=1}^{m} E_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{n}}\left(\xi_{n} \mid\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right),\left(\bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle$ for which

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(\epsilon_{r}^{\prime}-\epsilon_{r}\right)=0
$$

## Hypothesis on the ground state

Based on Nepomechie-Ravanini's conjecture, we suppose that we are in a configuration of boundary fields such that the homogeneous TQ-equation yields the ground state close to half-filling
$\rightsquigarrow$ the constraint can be maintained when taking the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$
$\rightsquigarrow$ the Bethe equations are very similar to the diagonal case:
$\frac{a\left(-\lambda_{j}\right) d\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{a\left(\lambda_{j}\right) d\left(-\lambda_{j}\right)} \prod_{\substack{\sigma= \pm \pm i \in\{1,2\}}} \frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{j}+\check{\lambda}_{\sigma, i}^{(0)}\right)}{\sinh \left(\lambda_{j}-\check{\lambda}_{\sigma, i}^{(0)}\right)} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{M} \prod_{\sigma= \pm} \frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{j}-\sigma \lambda_{k}+\eta\right)}{\sinh \left(\lambda_{j}-\sigma \lambda_{k}-\eta\right)}=1, \quad j=1, \ldots, M$
except for the boundary factor $\rightarrow 4$ boundary parameters instead of 2 :

$$
\check{\lambda}_{\sigma, 1}^{(0)}=\eta / 2-\epsilon_{\varphi_{\sigma}} \varphi_{\sigma}, \quad \check{\lambda}_{\sigma, 2}^{(0)}=\eta / 2-\sigma \epsilon_{\varphi_{\sigma}} \psi_{\sigma}+i \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \sigma= \pm
$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ G.S. described when $L \rightarrow \infty$ by the same density $\rho(\lambda)$ of "real" Bethe roots over the same Fermi zone $[-\Lambda, \Lambda]$ as in the diagonal case + possibly isolated "complex" roots (boundary roots) of the form

$$
\check{\lambda}_{\sigma, i}=\check{\lambda}_{\sigma, i}^{(0)}+\varepsilon_{\sigma, i}, \quad \sigma= \pm, \quad i \in\{1,2\}, \quad \varepsilon_{\sigma, i}=O\left(L^{-\infty}\right)
$$

$\rightarrow 4$ possible boundary roots instead of 2

## "Elementary building blocks" in the ground state

As in the diagonal case, the result is given as a multiple sum over scalar products, which turn in the half-infinite chain limit into multiple integrals over the Fermi zone $[-\Lambda, \Lambda]$ on which the Bethe roots condensate with density $\rho(\lambda)$ + possible contribution of the two (instead of one in the diagonal case) boundary roots $\check{\lambda}_{-, i}, i=1,2$ corresponding to the 2 boundary parameters at site 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\prod_{n=1}^{m} E_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{n}}\left(\xi_{n} \mid\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right),\left(\bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle=\prod_{n=1}^{m} \frac{e^{\eta}}{\sinh \left(\eta b_{n}\right)} \frac{(-1)^{s}}{\prod_{j<i} \sinh \left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right) \prod_{i \leq j} \sinh \left(\xi_{i}+\xi_{j}\right)} \\
\times \int_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{j=1}^{s} d \lambda_{j} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\xi}} \prod_{j=s+1}^{m} d \lambda_{j} \underbrace{H_{m}\left(\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M} ;\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { similar to the diagonal case } \\
\text { except that it has poles } \\
\text { in both parameters } \check{\lambda}_{-, i}^{(0)}, i=1,2
\end{array}} \underbrace{\operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq m}\left[\Phi\left(\lambda_{j}, \xi_{k}\right)\right]}_{\text {determinant of densities }},
\end{gathered}
$$

The contours $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\xi}$ are defined as

$$
\mathcal{C}=[-\Lambda, \Lambda] \cup \Gamma_{B R}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\xi}=\mathcal{C} \cup \Gamma\left(\left\{\xi_{k}^{(1)}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}\right)
$$

where $\Gamma_{B R}$ surrounds with index 1 the point(s) $\check{\lambda}_{-, i}^{(0)}$ iff the set of Bethe roots for the GS contains the boundary $\operatorname{root}(\mathrm{s}) \check{\lambda}_{-, i}$, and $\Gamma\left(\left\{\xi_{k}^{(1)}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}\right)$ the points $\xi_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{m}^{(1)}$, all other poles being outside.

## Conclusion, perspectives and open problems

1 thermal form factor expansion of finite-temperature correlation functions

- to be done : the low temperature limit (difficulties: complicated representation of (part of) the boundary factor, can it be simplified ?) $\rightsquigarrow$ explicit dependence on $m$ of the magnetization at distance $m$ from the boundary at $T=0$ ?

2 multiple integral representation for some matrix elements of the open XXZ chain with non-longitudinal boundary fields (case with a constraint)

- compute more general matrix elements with $\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(\epsilon_{r}^{\prime}-\epsilon_{r}\right) \neq 0$ ?
$\rightarrow$ the action modifies the number of B-operators in the Bethe states and shifts the dynamical parameter $\beta$
$\rightarrow$ no simple known expression of the resulting state in terms of separate states
$\rightarrow$ no known formula for the resulting scalar product
■ case without constraint ?

